REMARKS

Claims 1-20 were pending and rejected. Claims 1, 11, and 16 have been

amended and claim 7 was cancelled. The applicants request reconsideration of all the

rejected claims.

I. Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 1-20 were rejected based on double patenting. The applicants have

submitted a terminal disclaimer herewith to overcome the rejection.

II. Rejection of Claims 1-20 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Golberstein (U.S. 5,103,106).

CLAIM 1

Claim 1, as amended herein, is reprinted as follows for convenience:

An optical navigation device comprising:

a light source for illuminating a surface with a narrow bandwidth beam

at an angle of illumination with respect to said surface; and

a detector positioned at an angle of reflection with respect to said

surface operable to receive a reflected portion of said narrow bandwidth

beam, wherein said angle of reflection is substantially equal to said angle of

illumination; and

a filter positioned between said light source and said surface.

Serial No.: 10/690,169

Docket No.: 10030619-1

Some portions of claim 1 that are not disclosed or rendered obvious by

Golberstein. The applicants have amended claim 1 to include the filter of claim 7,

which has been cancelled herein.

There is no "filter positioned between said light source and said surface" as

claimed in claim 1 disclosed or suggested in Golberstein. In addition, there is no

mention or suggestion of such a filter in Golberstein. Accordingly, Golberstein cannot

render claim 1 obvious.

Based on the foregoing, the rejection of claim 1 has been overcome and the

applicants request reconsideration of the rejection.

CLAIMS 2-6 AND 8-10

Claims 2-6 and 8-10 are dependent on claim 1 and are deemed allowable by

way of their dependence and for other reasons. Accordingly, the applicants request

reconsideration of the rejections.

CLAIM 11

Claim 11, as amended herein, is reprinted as follows for convenience:

A system for controlling a positional pointer on a video screen of a

computer using a mouse to detect relative motion with respect to a surface,

said system comprising:

means for generating narrow bandwidth specular reflection images,

each said narrow bandwidth scatter pattern being specific to a portion of said

surface over which said mouse moves;

a filter located between said means for generating and said

surface; and

Serial No.: 10/690,169

Docket No.: 10030619-1

means for converting said specific narrow bandwidth specular

reflection images into signals corresponding to relative motion between said

mouse and said surface.

Some portions of claim 11 that are not disclosed or rendered obvious by

Golberstein. The applicants have amended claim 11 to include the filter of claim 7,

which has been cancelled herein.

There is no "filter located between said means for generating and said surface"

as claimed in claim 11 disclosed or suggested in Golberstein. In addition, there is no

mention or suggestion of such a filter in Golberstein. Accordingly, Golberstein cannot

render claim 11 obvious.

Based on the foregoing, the rejection of claim 11 has been overcome and the

applicants request reconsideration of the rejection.

CLAIMS 12-15

Claims 12-15 are dependent on claim 11 and are deemed allowable by way of

their dependence and for other reasons. Accordingly, the applicants request

reconsideration of the rejections.

CLAIM 16

Claim 16, as amended herein, is reprinted as follows for convenience:

A method for determining relative motion between an optical

navigation device and a surface, said method comprising:

providing a narrow bandwidth light beam at an angle of illumination

with respect to said surface for illuminating said surface; and

providing a filter positioned in said light beam; and

Serial No.: 10/690,169

Docket No.: 10030619-1

receiving a reflected portion of said narrow bandwidth light beam at

an angle of reflection with respect to said surface, such that said angle of

reflection is substantially equal to said angle of illumination.

Some portions of claim 16 that are not disclosed or rendered obvious by

Golberstein. The applicants have amended claim 16 to include the filter of claim 7,

which has been cancelled herein.

There is no "filter positioned in the light beam" as claimed in claim 16 disclosed

or suggested in Golberstein. In addition, there is no mention or suggestion of such a

filter in Golberstein. Accordingly, Golberstein cannot render claim 16 obvious.

Based on the foregoing, the rejection of claim 16 has been overcome and the

applicants request reconsideration of the rejection.

CLAIMS 17-20

Claims 17-20 are dependent on claim 16 and are deemed allowable by way of

their dependence and for other reasons. Accordingly, the applicants request

reconsideration of the rejections.

In view of the above, all of the pending claims are now believed to be in condition

for allowance and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

KLAAS, LAW, O'MEARA & MALKIN, P.C.

By:

/Robert W. Nelson/

Robert W. Nelson

Reg. No. 37,898

1999 Broadway, Suite 2225

Serial No.: 10/690,169

Docket No.: 10030619-1

Denver, CO 80202 Tel: (303) 298-9888 Fax: (303) 297-2266

Serial No.: 10/690,169 Docket No.: 10030619-1